





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/531,951	03/21/2000	Craig Douglas Voisin	57921/105	7500
7	590 06/27/2003			
GLENN PATENT GROUP			EXAMINER	
3475 EDISON WAY, SUITE-L MENLO PARK, CA 94025			DURAN, A	RTHUR D
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		3622		
			DATE MAILED: 06/27/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		(9,2				
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/531,951	VOISIN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Arthur Duran	3622				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover she t with the	correspond nce address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	l. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tileply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dangled will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17	<u> June 2003</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ 1	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-51</u> is/are pending in the application	on					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-51</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers	·					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in Applicat	ion No				
<ul> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language p	* *					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
0.0-1111111111111-						

Art Unit: 3622

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-51 have been examined.

# Response to Amendment

2. The Amendment filed on 6/17/03 is sufficient to overcome the Gerace reference.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gerace (5,848,396) in view of Lumelsky (6,246,672).

Claims 1, 16, 22: Gerace discloses maintaining an Internet-related communication session between a user and a portal (col 4, lines 1-5) and during the communication session periodically selecting and playing advertisements automatically based on any one of user constraints and sales criteria (col 2, lines 35-42; col 5, lines 8-25).

Gerace discloses tracking all aspects of user navigation of a portal (col 2, lines 15-42). Gerace discloses the use of sound (col 1, lines 30-35), audio forecasts (col 8, lines 63-65), and other sound and audio applications as referenced above. Gerace further discloses tracking the audio capabilities of a user's system (col 6, lines 17-21). Gerace further discloses the use of a

Art Unit: 3622

variety of input means (col 4, lines 6-11), and that the method can be operated anywhere the is Internet accessible (col 3, lines 54-58).

Gerace does not explicitly disclose the utilization of voice navigation.

Additionally, Applicant states that "Lumelsky's voice recognition is limited to password recognition which is technically simplistic compared to receiving voice navigational commands as the invention does" (page 8 of Applicant's Amendment dated 6/17/03).

However, Lumelsky discloses a wireless system that provides voice or audio broadcasts of all types, that is voice activated, voice controlled, voice navigated, features hands free operation, hands free user voice recording, and user voice message delivering to other users, and voice navigation access to Internet files from Web sites (col 5, line 50-col 6, line 5; Abstract).

Lumelsky further disclose creating a user profile and updating that profile with topics of user interest (col 20, lines 6-24; col 8, lines 50-55).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add Lumelsky's voice navigation to Gerace's targeted content over the Internet. One would have been motivated to do this because hands free voice input and navigation allows Gerace's method to reach a wider spectrum of possible uses.

Claims 2, 23: Gerace and Lumelsky disclose a method as in claims 1, 22, and Gerace further discloses playing an audio message identifying a product or service to be advertised, including a query as to whether the user would like to hear more information regarding the product or service, identifying a response of the user (col 2, lines 35-42; col 3, lines 4-10; col 8, lines 63-65; col 25, lines 15-17), selectively playing an audio advertisement for the product or service, if the response was affirmative (col 2, lines 40-42).

Art Unit: 3622

Claims 3 and 24: Gerace and Lumelsky disclose a method and means as in claim 2 and 23, and Gerace further discloses that the step of playing an audio message is in response to a previous user selection (col 2, lines 35-42).

Claims 4 and 25: Gerace and Lumelsky disclose a method and means as in claims 2 and 23, and Gerace further discloses the step of playing and audio message comprises identifying a preference of the user and selecting an advertisement for a product of service which corresponds to the preference of the user (col 5, lines 15-25).

Claims 5, 18, and 26: Gerace and Lumelsky disclose a method and means as in claims 4, 16, and 24, and Gerace further discloses identifying the user and retrieving preference information corresponding to the user, the preference information being stored in a database, and the advertisements played (col 5, lines 15-25).

Claims 6 and 27: Gerace discloses a method as in claims 2 and 23. Gerace further discloses a wide variety of input means (col 38, lines 25-27). Gerace further discloses the user of audio and radio broadcasts (col 3, lines 4-10; col 8, lines 63-65; col 25, lines 15-17). Gerace further discloses making the use of the invention more appealing to the user (col 2, lines 47-54).

Gerace does not explicitly disclose the step of identifying a response of the user comprising applying voice recognition techniques. However, Lumelsky discloses step of identifying a response of the user comprising applying voice recognition techniques (col 12, lines 10-13).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add Lumelsky's voice recognition to Gerace's targeted advertising.

Art Unit: 3622

One would have been motivated to do this because voice recognition is a standard method of user input and allows Gerace's user's further convenience.

Claims 7, 9, 20, 44: Gerace and Lumelsky disclose a method as in claims 2, 8, 16, 42, and Gerace further discloses the step of selectively playing an audio advertisement which comprises defining a set of advertisements, assigning weights to each member of the set of advertisements, and selecting a member of the set of advertisements based on the assigned weight (col 14, line 65-col 15, line 12).

Claims 8, 21, 28: Gerace and Lumelsky disclose a method as in claims 7, 16, and 23, and Gerace further discloses that wherein the step of assigning weights to each member of the set of advertisements comprises prioritizing each member of the set of advertisements (col 14, line 65-col 15, line 12).

Claim 13: Gerace and Lumelsky disclose a method as in claim 1 above, and further discloses that the step of maintaining a communication session between a user and portal comprises establishing a connection between a web device and the portal (col 3, lines 54-57).

Claim 15: Gerace and Lumelsky disclose a method as in claim 1 above, and Gerace further discloses that the step of maintaining a communication session between a user and portal comprises communicating with a personal computer interface (col 2, lines 1-15; col 4, lines 1-10).

Claim 29, 32-36, 40, 41, 42, 43, 46, 47, 48: Gerace discloses maintaining an Internet-related communication session between a user and a portal (col 4, lines 1-5) and during the communication session periodically selecting and playing advertisements automatically based on any one of user constraints and sales criteria (col 2, lines 35-42; col 5, lines 8-25).

Art Unit: 3622

Gerace discloses tracking all aspects of user navigation of a portal (col 2, lines 15-42). Gerace discloses the use of sound (col 1, lines 30-35), audio forecasts (col 8, lines 63-65), and other sound and audio applications as referenced above. Gerace further discloses tracking the audio capabilities of a user's system (col 6, lines 17-21). Gerace further discloses the use of a variety of input means (col 4, lines 6-11), and that the method can be operated anywhere the is Internet accessible (col 3, lines 54-58).

Gerace does not explicitly disclose the utilization of voice navigation.

Additionally, Applicant states that "Lumelsky's voice recognition is limited to password recognition which is technically simplistic compared to receiving voice navigational commands as the invention does" (page 8 of Applicant's Amendment dated 6/17/03).

However, Lumelsky discloses a wireless system that provides voice or audio broadcasts of all types, that is voice activated, voice controlled, voice navigated, features hands free operation, hands free user voice recording, and user voice message delivering to other users, and voice navigation access to Internet files from Web sites (col 5, line 50-col 6, line 5; Abstract).

Lumelsky further disclose creating a user profile and updating that profile with topics of user interest (col 20, lines 6-24; col 8, lines 50-55).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add Lumelsky's voice navigation to Gerace's targeted content over the Internet. One would have been motivated to do this because hands free voice input and navigation allows Gerace's method to reach a wider spectrum of possible uses.

Gerace further discloses playing an audio message identifying a product or service to be advertised, including a query as to whether the user would like to hear more information

Art Unit: 3622

regarding the product or service, identifying a response of the user (col 2, lines 35-42; col 3, lines 4-10; col 8, lines 63-65; col 25, lines 15-17), selectively playing an audio advertisement for the product or service, if the response was affirmative (col 2, lines 40-42).

Gerace further discloses the step of selectively playing an audio advertisement which comprises defining a set of advertisements, assigning weights to each member of the set of advertisements, and selecting a member of the set of advertisements based on the assigned weight (col 14, line 65-col 15, line 12).

Claims 10, 30, 37 and 45: Gerace discloses a system as in claims 7, 32, and 42, and Gerace further discloses providing billing information on advertisements used based on a per use rate of charge (col 12, line 56-col 13, line 10).

Claims 11, 14, 17, 19, 31, and 49: Gerace discloses a method as in claims 2, 1, 16, 23, and 46. Gerace further discloses the user making selections and purchases (col 2, lines 35-42). Gerace further discloses the user of audio and radio broadcasts (col 3, lines 4-10; col 8, lines 63-65; col 25, lines 15-17). Gerace further discloses making the use of the invention more appealing to the user (col 2, lines 47-54). Gerace further discloses warning and notice messages (col 33, lines 32-35).

Gerace does not explicitly disclose identifying a response comprises playing a confirmation audio message to confirm the response.

Gerace does not explicitly that the communication session comprises a voice interface.

However, Lumelsky discloses identifying a response comprises playing a confirmation audio message to confirm the response (col 7, lines 15-18).

Page 8

Lumelsky further discloses that the step of maintaining a communication session between a user and portal comprises communicating with a voice interface (col 8, line 66-col 9, line 1).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add Lumelsky's voice recognition to Gerace's targeted content. One would have been motivated to do this because voice recognition is a standard method of user input and allows Gerace's user's further convenience.

Claims 12, 38, 39, 50: Gerace discloses a method as in claims 1, 32, 46. Gerace further discloses that the communication session between a user and portal can be over an Internet capable medium (col 3, lines 54-57).

Gerace does not explicitly disclose the step of maintaining a communication session between a user and portal comprises establishing a connection between a wireless application protocol device and the portal.

However, Lumelsky discloses maintaining a communication session between a user and portal comprises establishing a connection between a wireless application protocol device and the portal (col 1, lines 6-9 and col 6, lines 57-65).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add Lumelsky's wireless device to Gerace's targeted content over the Internet. One would have been motivated to do this because wireless devices interacting with the Internet is an industry standard and allows Gerace's method to reach a wider spectrum of user devices.

Claim 51: Gerace discloses a program code as in clam 46 above. Gerace discloses that the program code operates audio content (col 3, lines 4-10; col 8, lines 63-65; col 25, lines 15-17). Gerace

Art Unit: 3622

further discloses collecting and maintaining user telephone information and general telephone related information (col 1, lines 10-14; col 6, lines 1-5; col 9, lines 30-33).

Gerace does not explicitly disclose that the computer readable program code for transforming Internet-based information into speech or vocal transmission utilizes the telephone.

However, Lumelsky discloses a system with program code for an interactive radio system that utilizes the telephone (col 21, lines 53-62 and col 23, line 4).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add Lumelsky's voice recognition with telephone utilization to Gerace's targeted information.

One would have been motivated to do this because so that Gerace can better utilize the telephone information that he has already collected.

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1-51 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Particularly note the rejection of the Independent claims above.

Examiner notes that while specific references were made to the prior art, it is actually also the prior art in its entirety that is being referred to.

Gerace discloses tracking all aspects of user navigation of a portal (col 2, lines 15-42). Gerace discloses the use of sound (col 1, lines 30-35), audio forecasts (col 8, lines 63-65), and other sound and audio applications as referenced above. Gerace further discloses tracking the audio capabilities of a user's system (col 6, lines 17-21). Gerace further discloses the use of a

variety of input means (col 4, lines 6-11), and that the method can be operated anywhere the is Internet accessible (col 3, lines 54-58).

Gerace does not explicitly disclose the utilization of voice navigation.

Additionally, Applicant states that "Lumelsky's voice recognition is limited to password recognition which is technically simplistic compared to receiving voice navigational commands as the invention does" (page 8 of Applicant's Amendment dated 6/17/03).

However, Lumelsky discloses a wireless system that provides voice or audio broadcasts of all types, that is voice activated, voice controlled, voice navigated, features hands free operation, hands free user voice recording, and user voice message delivering to other users, and voice navigation access to Internet files from Web sites (col 5, line 50-col 6, line 5; Abstract).

Lumelsky further disclose creating a user profile and updating that profile with topics of user interest (col 20, lines 6-24; col 8, lines 50-55).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add Lumelsky's voice navigation to Gerace's targeted content over the Internet. One would have been motivated to do this because hands free voice input and navigation allows Gerace's method to reach a wider spectrum of possible uses.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 3622

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arthur Duran whose telephone number is (703)305-4687. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon- Fri, 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric Stamber can be reached on (703)305-8469. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)872-9326 for regular communications and (703)872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1113.

DAMES W. MYHAL PRIMMY EXAMINER ANT UNIT 3622

June 24, 2003